- 1		
1	LEWIS, FEINBERG, LEE, RENAKER & JACKSON, P.C. JEFFREY LEWIS, SBN 066587 jlewis@lewisfeinberg.com	
2		
3	ANDREW LAH, SBN 234580 alah@lewisfeinberg.com	
4	NINA WASOW, SBN 242047 nwasow@lewisfeinberg.com DARIN RANAHAN, SBN 273532	
5	DARIN RANAHAN, SBN 273532 dranahan@lewisfeinberg.com	
6	476 9 th Street Oakland, CA 94607	
7	Telephone: (510) 839-6824 Facsimile: (510) 839-7839	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff RETIREE SUPPORT GROUP OF CONTRA COSTA COUNTY HANSON BRIDGETT LLP RAYMOND F. LYNCH, SBN 119065 rlynch@hansonbridgett.com STEPHEN B. PECK, SBN 72214 speck@hansonbridgett.com JANE M. FEDDES, SBN 282117 jfeddes@hansonbridgett.com 425 Market Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 777-3200 Facsimile: (415) 541-9366	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	Attorneys for Defendant	
16	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
19		
20	RETIREE SUPPORT GROUP OF CONTRA	CASE NO. C 12-00944 JST
21	COSTA COUNTY,	STIPULATION RE PRODUCTION OF
22	Plaintiff,	CLOSED SESSION RECORDS WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO CLAIMS OF
23	V.	PRIVILEGE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
24	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY,	Judge: Hon. Jon S. Tigar
25	Defendant.	
26		
27	WHEREAS, Defendant has withheld from production documents on grounds including	
28	that the records from closed sessions of the Defendant's Board of Supervisors are exempt from C 12-00944 JST	

disclosure under Federal and State law under the deliberative process privilege and State law pursuant to the Ralph M. Brown Act, Government Code section 54950 *et. seq*, including section 54957.6. ("Privilege Claims");

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendant dispute the applicability and extent of these privileges in this case, including the Brown Act, but wish to narrow the parties' disputes to the extent possible;

WHEREAS, Defendant is willing to produce some closed session and other materials under the parties' Protective Order upon the conditions that Defendant's productions do not constitute a waiver of any kind and are without prejudice to its Privilege Claims and applicability of the Brown Act and that County retains the right to object to any use of the records in and during a deposition, motion or at trial on the grounds of its Privilege Claims;

WHEREAS, so long as Plaintiff retains the claims and arguments it has before production, Plaintiff is willing to agree that County's production of closed session and other records is made without prejudice to the County's Privilege Claims concerning the disclosed record and that County retains the right to object to any use of the record in and during a deposition, motion or at trial on the grounds of privilege, and that Plaintiff may not use the disclosed record or its content to argue that additional undisclosed closed session records or testimony about deliberations in closed session are discoverable or not privileged.

NOW, THEREFORE, in order to narrow the scope of the parties' discovery disputes, expedite discovery, and prepare for trial, the parties, through their respective attorneys, agree as follows:

- The documents produced pursuant to this Stipulation will be governed by the terms
 of this Stipulation and Order and the Protective Order entered in this case on August 22, 2014
 (Docket Number 80);
- 2. To the extent Defendant produces closed session or other records under the Protective Order, Defendant's production of such records does not waive its Privilege Claims or confidentiality as to the document or the confidentiality of any such proceeding and its disclosures are without prejudice to Defendant's Privilege Claims which it may assert later in or during

1 depositions, motions, and at trial; 2 3. Plaintiff does not waive and preserves any claim it has that closed session records 3 are discoverable. However, Plaintiff may not use the disclosed closed session records or their 4 content to argue that additional undisclosed closed session records or testimony about 5 deliberations in closed session are discoverable. 6 SO STIPULATED: 7 8 DATED: July 14, 2014 LEWIS, FEINBERG, LEE, RENAKER & JACKSON, P.C. 9 10 By: /s/ NINA WASOW 11 12 13 DATED: July 14, 2014 HANSON BRIDGETT LLP 14 15 By:____/s/ RAYMOND F. LYNCH 16 17 18 IT IS SO ORDERED. 19 IT IS SO ORDERED 20 Dated: _July 16, 2014 21 Judge Jon S. Tigar 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 C 12-00944 JST

SIGNATURES UNDER GENERAL ORDER NO. 45 Pursuant to General Order No. 45 of the United States District Court, Northern District of California, I, Raymond Lynch—the ECF User whose User ID and Password are used in the filing of this document—hereby attest that the concurrence to the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories to this document. /s/ Raymond Lynch

6370194.1